



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DA | TE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,909 08/20/2003 | | Sohel Anwar | V203-0495 | 6451 | |
| 29074 7590 06/15/2005 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| VISTEON | | NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T | | | |
| C/O BRINK PO BOX 10 | LS HOFER GILSO 1395 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60610 | | | | 3683 | |
| | | | • | DATE MAILED: 06/15/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applica | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | ,909 | ANWAR ET AL. | | | | |
| | | | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lan Ngı | <u> </u> | 3683 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. o) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a reply be tim tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2005. | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | ✓ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 7-13 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-6 and 14 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen [.] | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforr | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

HC

Application/Control Number: 10/644,909

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amendments to the specification have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 is substantially a duplicate of claim 1.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 16- and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,871,919. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-6 of the patent are broader in scope and would have encompassed the claims of the instant invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7-13 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments, filed 3/15/05, with respect to the 112, 1st paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 112, 1st paragraph of claims 1-6 and 8-13 has been withdrawn.
- 8. In further reviewing of the instant application, it is found that claims 1-6 and 14 of the instant application are not patently distinct from claims 1-6 of patent 6,871,919. Hence, a rejection has been stated above.

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lan Nguyen C Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683